"We believe that it is critically important to preserve and communicate the memory of the Union Carbide disaster in Bhopal for realizing the possibility of a world free of disasters such as Bhopal. We believe that the structures of the MIC and Sevin plants and few remaining structures are, in the likeness of the remains of the concentration camps at Auschwitz and Buchenwald and other places, of tremendous educative value for future generations across the world and therefore must be preserved."

Excerpt from a letter by the survivors of the Bhopal gas tragedy to UNESCO (United Nations Educational Scientific and Cultural Organisation) seeking the recognition and protection of the heritage values of the Union Carbide plant in Bhopal.

New Delhi, India, 2009

The identity of Bhopal city is inextricably linked to the Bhopal gas tragedy of 1984, presumably one of the worst industrial disasters in the world. In an increasingly globalised world, the continued relevance of the Bhopal disaster concerns questions of power, justice and sustainable development. The structures and grounds of the former Union Carbide factory in Bhopal, the epicentre of the disaster, are a repository of history and stories that need to be told. Yet, the factory lies abandoned, decaying and still contaminated. The Bhopal2011 – workshop and symposium held in Bhopal in January 2011 focused on the possible transformation of the site into a place of remembrance and a resource for the city of Bhopal. Landscapes of Memory is a documentation of the process, insights and outcomes of Bhopal2011. It is also an exploration on how, engaging with the confronting legacy of such sites can contribute to a better understanding of our times.
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Introduction

Amritha Ballal

Memory is treacherous territory – a constant, subconscious process of filtering our past, which we struggle to grasp. What we choose to remember or forget is simultaneously affected by externalities and rooted in the deepest recesses of our individual psyche. Objects trigger memories; the tangible carries intangible associations. What then of public memory? How much more fraught does the process of public commemoration get? The focus of this publication is the Union Carbide site, where the Bhopal gas tragedy originated. This site houses the tangible reminders of the disaster – comprising of rusting metal, crumbling concrete, dense overgrowth on contaminated soil, laboratories with instruments, offices with paperwork, machine rooms with warning signs and storehouses with dangerous chemicals left behind as they were on the night of the Tragedy. Yet, time has passed, more than 26 years and the site has come to be associated not just with the Tragedy of 1984 but also with the ensuing struggle for justice that continues to this day. Some people see the factory as the physical anchor of any future commemorative efforts. For them, the factory structure is a powerful reminder of the dangers of the reckless development in a city that paid a terrible price in its pursuit. Many others see the factory as an eyesore and believe that Bhopal is best rid of this rusted reminder of a terrible past. Many Bhopalis would rather their city is associated with its beautiful lakes, forts and palaces than with industrial disasters. Public memory is thus closely linked to the construct of cultural identity. This merits an exploration on whether the uncertain future of the Tragedy site is, to some degree, a consequence of the popular understanding of heritage – as that which celebrates our past achievements but fails to include narratives of injustice, discrimination and past mistakes. Is the attempt to eradicate such symbols, effectively a selective overwriting of history in order to construct a
the site into a publicly accessible site for remembrance and sites with contemporary, painful pasts as heritage – need the legacy of the Tragedy and the lessons we can derive and isolated in their struggle for justice. It also compromises to contain its fallout. This leaves the survivors vulnerable an inspiring yet ultimately depressing saga of the endless for most of us – from a global Tragedy, to a country's onus, the tragedy and its painful, unending aftermath has impacted one takes into account that 26 years of struggling with the impromptu debates. This may seem unremarkable unless one takes into account that 26 years of struggling with the city, leaving it fragmented and increasingly locked into unyielding positions – angry, defensive, fatigued or alienated. Over the years, discourse on the Tragedy has become increasingly fragmented and no one becomes a stagnant for most of us – from a global Tragedy, to a country's cause, to a city's burden and now a conflict between ‘petrifiers’ and ‘survivors’ of the Tragedy. This myopic view pushes the Bhopal narrative to the fringes of popular consciousness as an inspiring yet ultimately depressing saga of the endless struggle of the victims against immensely powerful forces. In doing so, it exempted the rest of the society from the crucial debate on the global socio-political hierarchies that precluded the Tragedy and have done very little since then to contain its fallout. This leaves the survivors vulnerable and isolated in their struggle for justice. It also compromises the legacy of the Tragedy and the lessons we can derive from it as a society. The political and social divides around the issues relating to the Tragedy and a resistance to view sites with contemporary, painful pasts as heritage – need to be addressed as part of the process of transformation of the site into a publicly accessible site for remembrance and

empowerment for the local community. Bhopal2011 provided a platform for discussion, debate and dialogue towards this end by expanding the discourse and contextualising the tragedy within the shared heritage of Bhopal. The event was conceptualised as an action-research initiative to increase awareness on the issues related to the rehabilitation of the Union Carbide site and contribute to their resolution. At the same time, the initiative aimed at building knowledge and capacity of the various students, researchers and institutions that participated in the event.

The workshop was structured into five units. Each unit dealt with a certain theme that was significant to the Union Carbide site within a broader theoretical scope, which the multicultural and multidisciplinary participants could engage with. The themes of the units were ‘history and heritage, landscapes of regeneration, space as a container for memory, inclusive heritage management and expression of memory through art’. Together, the units looked at evaluating the various values of the Union Carbide site, seeing how its rehabilitation can contribute to overall urban regeneration of the surrounding under-developed precincts, how the site can be interpreted as a future memorial, how an inclusive management process can protect the legacy of the site and the interests of different stakeholders and finally looking at the role that creative disciplines can play in communicating the essence of the Tragedy that transcends its context, concept and process of Bhopal2011.

The following chapter Artefact explores the link between object and memory. It is also a means to introduce the reader to the Union Carbide site. The workshop unit ‘Union Carbide site as heritage and resource’ delved into the debate of the intangible values that qualify tangible objects and sites as heritage. Arguing for a holistic valuation of heritage, they initiated a mapping of the Union Carbide site based on a self-designed valuation framework. The chapter also looks into the various ways in which heritage sites are a public resource and the challenges that keep them from being harnessed as such. Bosse Lagerqvist’s paper presents a flexible, inclusive framework for valuation of heritage that can be adapted to specific conditions of different sites. Nalini Thakur throws light on the threats facing our built environment, the potential that the memorialisation process for the Bhopal gas tragedy holds in terms of museological and design challenges of creating a memorial. The unit participants used the master plan by the architecture firm SpaceMatters for the Bhopal gas tragedy memorial at the Union Carbide site as a starting point for further explorations. The memorial design and vision by SpaceMatters is also presented in this chapter. Rama Lakshmi’s paper talks of the potential that the memorialisation process for the Bhopal gas tragedy holds in terms of curatorial framework for designing museums in the country. Gobby Swadinsana’s paper elucidates the design components of a holistic and inclusive memorial, based on case studies of award winning designs by architect Tadas Ando. Proc Process highlights the need for a long term vision and inclusive management strategies for the successful
remediation of a conflicted site like the Union Carbide factory site. Successful projects rooted in a similar context as that of Bhopal, inform of the various strategies and challenges in the rehabilitation of the Union Carbide site. Diana Walters makes a case for museums to recognise and cater to the diversity of their audience, and be alert against unintended exclusion due to insensitive design. Saptarshi Sanyal highlights how the shortcomings of the heritage-monitoring frameworks in the country can inadvertently damage the very heritage value of the sites they seek to protect. Maria Greger’s paper presents Phytoremediation as an environmentally friendly and economic addition to the decontamination strategy for the Bhopal site. Underscoring the symbolic power of healing with nature, the author makes the argument that to achieve lasting positive change due importance must be given to having the proper process for rehabilitation of Union Carbide site, instead of focussing solely on the immediate results.

The chapter Legacy illustrates the global relevance of Bhopal and its connection to other sites with a similar legacy of pain and injustice. These places have a historic significance and contemporary relevance that needs to be protected and harnessed. The chapter presents different cases that Bhopal can relate to, such as those that are associated with the International Coalition of the Sites of Conscience. Björn Ola Lind and Torkel Lindberg, artists from Sweden, present their experiences from Marieberg, an industrial community in Sweden, recovering from the effects of dioxin contamination by chemicals from Dow industries. Constantin Canavas presents the case of the Stoltzenberg plant in Germany, whose remediation has aided in spreading awareness on industrial contamination and issues of negligence in the operation of the plant. Shalini Sharma’s paper on the activist movement in Bhopal, talks of how the movement now identifies with other issues and struggles not just in India, but the world over – interconnected to sites and communities with a shared legacy of struggle for justice and dignity.

Finally, Reflections presents the insights from the unit masters, participants and organizers on the Tragedy, the themes that the participants worked on, the city of Bhopal and the process of the workshop itself. This publication and the Bhopal2011 event that it documents is a small attempt to throw light on the enormous challenges that remain in overcoming the aftermath of one of the world’s greatest industrial disaster. Yet, it also highlights how Bhopal can increase our knowledge and understanding of these very issues, and contribute towards increasing our resilience as societies in dealing with them. This outcome is not a given, but the collection of experiences in this publication indicate that an inclusive, sustained engagement with the memory of Bhopal has the potential to positively alter a forgotten landscape of continued disaster and neglect. I end here with unit masters Norihito Nakatani’s reflection on the promise and challenge of their work in Bhopal.

“... maybe our unit recording and research will be used sometime in the future if someone needs it. If someone is not concerned about our recording, it will never re-appear. Our activities have many possibilities in the future – our recording will become a memory in the future.”